UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V.		
	Charles Campbell Defendant	Case No. 1:15-cr-00119-GJQ	
	200/0011		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re refendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – F	indings of Fact	
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
		*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	nvolves:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defenda	imption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe nt has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)	
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et		
,	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition and the safety of the community.	
		ve Findings (B)	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app		
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang		
		the Reasons for Detention	
evidence 1. Defer 2. Defer 3. Defer 4. Defer 5. Defer	find that the testimony and information submitted at the content of the evidence that: a preponderance of the evidence that: adant has no substantial employment history. adant has four open warrants. adant has a history of controlled substance use. adant has violated probation/parole. adant has a history of failures to appear. adant has twice been convicted of domestic violence.	he detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing	
	Part III - Direction	ns Regarding Detention	
		no nonaranta Determion	

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 13, 2015	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge